see reff.

**every where]** No inference  
can be drawn from this word as to the  
date of the fragment. In Acts ix.82 Peter  
is said to have “*passed throughout* all  
(quarters)...:”—the expression being  
only *a general one*, indicating their

performance, in their time and d », of our  
Lord’s words, into all the world

**the Lord**, i. e. Jesus: see Matt. xxviii. 20:  
Heb. ii. 3, 4, which last passage some have  
absurdly supposed, to have been seen and  
used by our Evangelist. The two words  
rendered following (here and in ver. 17) are  
compound verbs, and *both foreign to the  
diction of St. Mark*, often as he uses the  
simple verb.

A few concluding remarks may be added  
respecting vv. 9–20. (1) For the   
external evidence, see as above. As to its  
*genuineness as a work of the Evangelist  
Mark*, (2) internal evidence is, I think,  
*very weighty against St. Mark’s being the  
author*. No less than *twenty-one words and  
expressions occur in it* (and some of them  
several times), which are *never elsewhere  
used* by St. Mark,—whose adherence, to  
his own peculiar phrases is remarkable.  
(3) The inference therefore seems to me to  
be, that i*t is an authentic fragment,  
placed as a completion of the Gospel in  
very early times*: by whom written, must  
course remain wholly uncertain; but  
coming to us with very weighty sanction,  
and having strong claims on our reception  
and reverence.